

Dear Examiner

I received your Advisory Action mailed on 2010-06-29 as below,
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of the date of filling a brief, will not be entered because

AMENDMENTS

- (a) × They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (se NOTE below);
- 10 (c) × They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or

Continuation of 3 NOTE:

The amendments are too fundamental to have simplified the issues. These issues include how "a topology administration server which administers information of said database management systems such as data dictionary, or locking status, or referential integrity status, or physical location of rows divided horizontally to the tables including sited in the databases in the

20 other domains, or physical location of columns divided vertically to the tables including sited in the databases in the other domains, or multi transactions commit counter, or meta data of file systems on which said database management systems exist;" would change the scope of the claims, and would also require further consideration and/or an updated search.

This phrase "a topology administration server which administers information of said database management systems such as data dictionary, or locking status, or referential integrity status, or physical location of rows divided horizontally to the tables including sited in the databases in the

30 other domains, or physical location of columns divided vertically to the tables including sited in the databases in the other domains, or multi transactions commit counter, or meta data of file systems on which said database management systems exist;" is added as new issues in "Claims" on 2009-08-24 to reply for Non-Final Rejection on 2007-09-17 NOT for "Claims" on 2010-06-07 to reply for Final Rejection on 2010-03-08.

However, their are not mentioned for new issues above in "Claims" on 2009-08-24 in the final rejection. I believe these issues does not expand but reduce meaning of "Information" which are shared across plurality of database domains. Because there are not mentioned for fraise above I added into reply for Non-Final Rejection on 2009-08-24 prior to receiving Final Rejection on 2010-06-07, I recognized the issues decrypted in the fraise above are granted as not new issues, so I did not delete the fraise above from the "Claims" on 2010-06-07 as reply for Final Rejection on 2010-03-08.

10 If I received caution that "a topology administration server which administers information of said database management systems such as data dictionary, or locking status, or referential integrity status, or physical location of rows divided horizontally to the tables including sited in the databases in the other domains, or physical location of columns divided vertically to the tables including sited in the databases in the other domains, or multi transactions commit counter, or meta data of file systems on which said database management systems exist;" are new issues in the Final-Rejection on 2010-03-08, I would delete the fraise above from "Claims" on 2010-06-07.

20 Thus, I cannot consent that "a topology administration server which administers information of said database management systems such as data dictionary, or locking status, or referential integrity status, or physical location of rows divided horizontally to the tables including sited in the databases in the other domains, or physical location of columns divided vertically to the tables including sited in the databases in the other domains, or multi transactions commit counter, or meta data of file systems on which said database management systems exist;" are new issues added after Final Rejection on 2010-03-08 you said in Advisory Action mailed on 2010-06-29..

30 I would like to you having re-consideration the fraise above does not include new issues after Final Rejection on 2010-03-08 and giving granted for my application on the condition that there are no points to be rejected more.

Respectfully submitted

Mr. Shuhei Nishiyama (Customer Number 88597)

/SHUHEI NISHIYAMA/ July 6, 2010